

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/723,434		ZHONG ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BRADLEY DUFFY		1643	

All Participants:

(1) Brad Duffy.

(2) Patricia L. Chisholm.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's Amendment and the mailing of a Quayle action to allow Applicant to address sequence compliance issues present in the specification, which would place the application in condition for allowance. Agreement was reached with respect to the proposed amendments. Ms. Chisholm authorized entry of the proposed Examiner's Amendment.